Challenges of Complex Software Systems Adaptation



Konstantinos Angelopoulos

Introduction

- Software is a system and requirements are the elements that compose it.
- Systems need control mechanisms to guarantee their successful operation and cope with changes.
- We need to control the software system through it's requirements.

Control Theory for Software Systems

- [Dispatching occurs in 3 min] [Incide Awareness Requirements (AweReg) as Indicators over the output. NeverFai (AR11) Fast patching Control variables and variation points (OR Decompositions) as parameters. Resource mobilization AND Get good Determine best feedback ambulances Provide route Inform stations assistance / ambulances LoA VP1 OR Driver knows Staff member the way assists via radio - Manual Auto with confirmation A-CAD assists Automatic via navigator
- System identification reveals qualitative relations between indicators and parameters.
- Evolution Requirements (EvoReq) as a way to operationalize adaptation.

.

•

Ambulance Dispatch Use Case



System Identification (1)

- 1. $\Delta(AR1/NoSM)[0,maxSM]>0$
- 2. Δ (AR2/NoSM)[0,maxSM]>0
- 3. $\Delta(AR13/NoSM) < 0$
- 4. ∆ (AR3/LoA) < 0
- 5. ∆ (AR4/LoA) < 0
- 6. ∆ (AR9/LoA) < 0
- 7. Δ (AR11/LoA) > 0
- 8. Δ (AR12/LoA) < 0
- 9. ∆(AR3/VP1)>0
- 10. ∆(AR4/VP1)>0
- 11. $\Delta(AR7/VP1) > 0$
- 12. $\Delta(AR11/VP1) < 0$

- 13. ∆(AR6/VP2)>0 14. ∆(AR11/VP2) < 0 15. $\Delta(AR12/VP2) > 0$ 16. ∆(AR6/VP3)>0 17. ∆(AR11/VP3) < 0 18. Δ (AR12/VP3) > 0 19. ∆(AR8/VP4)>0 20. ∆(AR9/VP4)>0 21. ∆(AR11/VP4) < 0 22. Δ (AR10/VP5) > 0
- 23. \triangle (AR14/V P 5) < 0



Qualitative relations between input and output

System Identification (2) Which parameter to tune?

- 1. $|\Delta (AR3/VP1)| > |\Delta (AR3/LoA)|$
- 2. $|\Delta (AR4/VP1)| > |\Delta (AR4/LoA)|$
- 3. $|\Delta (AR9/VP4)| > |\Delta (AR9/LoA)|$
- 4. $|\Delta(AR11/VP2)| > |\Delta(AR11/LoA)| > |\Delta(AR11/VP3)| > |\Delta(AR11/VP1)| > |\Delta(AR11/VP4)|$
- 5. $|\Delta (AR12/VP2)| \approx |\Delta (AR12/VP3)| \approx |\Delta (AR12/LOA)$

we choose the most suitable parameter to face a failure

Where is the problem?

- The previous example considers single output
 - The majority of the systems have multiple outputs that are interconnected by common parameters.
 - Tuning a parameter to fix an indicator may lead another one to failure causing infinite loops (optimization problem).
 - It is difficult to control the system when multiple indicators fail simultaneously.
- What we can do:
 - Controllability check at design time
 - Assign priorities on indicators
 - Use locks to avoid to worsen the failure of an indicator (gradual adaptation)

Improving Precision

- SASO properties:
 - o Stability
 - Accuracy
 - o settling time
 - Overshooting
- We need precision to satisfy the last 3 properties
- Why is difficult?
 - Qualitative approaches are easier to apply but they delay the adaptation process.
 - Derive the quantitative mathematic relations between input and output is hard.
- The problem can be solved at runtime:
 - machine learning and simulations
 - o statistical regression

The Role of Architecture in Adaptation

- Why architecture is important:
 - Reveals limitations of the system
 - Reusability for the adaptation strategy
 - Gives an engineering perspective of adaptation
- Rainbow Project:
 - Follows the MAPE loop model
 - The architectural model is the reference for the adaptation strategies
 - But there is absence of the requirements model
- Future Plan:
 - Create an adaptation language that will link the requirements model with the architectural model of the target system

THANK YOU!